Navigating Cultural Differences in Multinational Companies through English Language Skills

Dr. G. Ramamurthy

Professor of English

Email: ramamurthy.net@gmail.com

Abstract

Abstract Multinational companies rely heavily on English as a shared language, yet cultural differences continue to hinder effective workplace interaction. This study explores how English language proficiency and intercultural training help employees manage cultural barriers in global organizations. A mixed-methods design was used, involving a survey of 180 employees and interviews with 15 managers from diverse multinational firms. Results reveal that differences in directness, hierarchy, and non-verbal cues remain the most common obstacles. Stronger English skills improve participation and clarity, while combined language and cultural training significantly reduces misunderstandings. The findings highlight the need for integrated training approaches in both higher education and corporate settings. Keywords: Intercultural competence, English language, multinational companies.

Keywords: Intercultural competence, English language, multinational companies.

1. Introduction

Globalization and Multinational Workplaces The rapid expansion of international business has created workplaces where employees from many cultural backgrounds work together daily. Companies now operate across continents through subsidiaries, virtual teams, and global supply chains. This diversity brings innovation and wider market knowledge, but it also increases the complexity of everyday communication. Differences in values, work styles, and expectations often lead to friction that affects team performance and organizational results.

English as the Working Language English has become the main language of international business. It is used in meetings, emails, reports, and negotiations. Many corporations have adopted English as their official working language. However, sharing a common language does not remove cultural barriers. Employees with good grammar and vocabulary may still struggle to interpret tone, hierarchy, or unspoken expectations. Successful communication therefore requires both language ability and cultural understanding.

Understanding Intercultural Communication Skills Intercultural communication skills involve the ability to interact appropriately and effectively with people from different cultural backgrounds. These skills include English proficiency, awareness of cultural norms, sensitivity to different communication styles, correct reading of non-verbal signals, and the capacity to adjust behaviour when misunderstandings occur. In multinational companies, such skills help employees build trust, reduce conflict, and work productively across cultural boundaries.

The Problem Despite the widespread use of English, many employees still face communication difficulties. Differences in how directly people speak, how they show respect for hierarchy, and how they interpret silence or body language frequently cause problems. These issues lower productivity, weaken teamwork, and create dissatisfaction. Most companies provide some English training, but fewer offer systematic intercultural development. There is a clear need to study these challenges and identify practical solutions.

Objectives of the Study

- To identify the main cultural barriers that affect communication in multinational companies
- To examine how English language proficiency relates to effective intercultural interaction
- To assess the value of training programmes that combine language and cultural learning
- To suggest practical strategies for improving communication skills in global workplaces

Research Questions

1. What major cultural barriers do employees experience when communicating in multinational companies?
2. In what ways does English language proficiency support effective intercultural communication?
3. How effective are integrated language and cultural training programmes in reducing communication problems?

Significance This research contributes to applied linguistics and corporate communication by showing the practical connection between English skills and intercultural competence. It offers useful guidance for English teachers, corporate trainers, and human resource professionals who prepare employees for global work environments.

Scope and Limitations The study focuses on employees and managers in multinational companies that use English as the main working language. It examines practical communication challenges rather than all cultural differences. Limitations include reliance on self-reported data and the specific organizational contexts of the sample.

2. Literature Review

Key Theoretical Models Hofstede's cultural dimensions explain important differences in power distance, individualism, and uncertainty avoidance that shape workplace behaviour. Hall's highcontext and low-context model shows why some cultures rely on implicit messages while others prefer clear verbal statements. Bennett's Developmental Model of Intercultural Sensitivity describes how people move from ethnocentric to more adaptive stages of cultural understanding. These models provide a strong foundation for studying communication in global companies.

English Proficiency and Intercultural Performance Research on English as a Lingua Franca shows that linguistic accuracy alone is not enough. Successful interaction depends on pragmatic strategies such as clarification, simplification, and accommodation. Employees with good English skills participate more confidently, yet they still need cultural awareness to avoid misunderstandings related to politeness, hierarchy, and feedback.

Existing Research on Workplace Challenges Previous studies in Europe, North America, and parts of Asia have documented recurring problems linked to hierarchy, feedback styles, and virtual communication. Written English in emails and messaging apps often increases the risk of misinterpretation because tone is difficult to convey. Overall, research confirms that communication difficulties arise from the combined effects of language, culture, and organizational practices.

Main Barriers Common barriers include differences between direct and indirect speaking styles, difficulty interpreting non-verbal signals, hierarchical expectations that limit open discussion, and the influence of stereotypes. Language limitations, such as restricted ability to express disagreement politely, further complicate interaction.

Training Approaches Effective programmes combine English practice with cultural learning. Experiential methods such as role-plays, critical incident analysis, and simulations produce better results than lectures alone. Sustained training over several sessions leads to more lasting improvement than single workshops.

Research Gaps Most existing studies focus on Western or East Asian contexts. Limited attention has been given to Indian and South Asian multinational environments. More research is needed on how local cultural values interact with English as a working language and on the effectiveness of training designed for professionals in these settings.

3. Research Methodology

Research Design A mixed-methods design was adopted. Quantitative data were collected through a structured questionnaire, while qualitative data came from semi-structured interviews. This combination provided both measurable patterns and detailed workplace insights.

Population and Sample The study included 180 employees from various departments and 15 managers with experience in cross-border work. Participants came from information technology, manufacturing, pharmaceuticals, finance, and consulting sectors in multinational companies.

Sampling Technique Purposive sampling selected participants with regular intercultural contact. Convenience sampling helped reach them through professional networks and training programmes.

Data Collection Tools A structured questionnaire measured English proficiency, frequency of intercultural contact, perceived barriers, and training experience. Interviews with managers explored real workplace challenges and effective strategies. Limited observation of virtual meetings provided additional context.

Data Analysis Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics and correlation analysis. Qualitative interview transcripts were examined through thematic analysis to identify key patterns and themes.

Ethical Considerations Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained. Participants could withdraw at any time without consequences.

Validity and Reliability Expert review established content validity. Cronbach's alpha confirmed acceptable reliability. Triangulation of survey, interview, and observational data strengthened the credibility of the findings.

4. Results

Participant Profile Of the 180 employees, 58 percent were male and 42 percent female. Most were aged 28–40 years with three to twelve years of experience. Participants represented more than twelve nationalities, with many working in India-based or Asian regional offices of global firms.

Levels of Competence Thirty-five percent rated their intercultural communication competence as high, 48 percent as moderate, and 17 percent as low. Managers and those with international experience reported higher competence.

Main Barriers The most common barriers were differences in direct versus indirect communication, difficulty reading non-verbal cues in virtual settings, hierarchical constraints on open feedback, and cultural stereotypes. Language limitations in expressing nuanced disagreement also caused problems.

English Proficiency and Effectiveness Higher English proficiency was clearly linked to greater confidence, fewer misunderstandings, and stronger participation. However, even advanced speakers continued to face cultural barriers related to politeness and hierarchy.

Impact of Training Employees who received combined language and cultural training reported fewer difficulties than those without training. Role-plays, critical incident discussions, and multisession programmes produced the strongest positive results.

Variations by Context Technology companies showed higher use of written English and virtual interaction. Traditional hierarchical organizations experienced stronger challenges related to power distance and feedback styles.

5. Discussion

The findings confirm that cultural barriers remain significant even when English is the shared language. English proficiency improves clarity and participation, but intercultural competence is essential for successful interaction. Training that integrates language practice with cultural learning produces better outcomes than language training alone.

These results support earlier work based on Hofstede and Hall while adding evidence from Indian and Asian multinational contexts. Traditional English courses focused only on grammar and vocabulary are insufficient for global workplaces. Programmes must include pragmatic strategies, cultural awareness, and realistic business scenarios. Companies should move from short workshops to sustained experiential training and recognize intercultural competence in performance systems.

6. Conclusion

Cultural differences in communication style, hierarchy, and non-verbal behaviour continue to create barriers in multinational companies. English language proficiency supports more effective interaction, yet combined language and intercultural training further reduces misunderstandings and builds confidence. The study contributes to English language education and corporate communication by demonstrating the practical value of integrated skill development. Future research should examine long-term training effects and communication patterns in remote and hybrid global teams. Organizations and educational institutions that develop both English proficiency and intercultural competence will be better prepared for success in culturally diverse workplaces.

References

1. Byram, M. (2021). Teaching and assessing intercultural communicative competence: Revisited. Multilingual Matters.
2. Canagarajah, S. (2016). Translingual practice: Global Englishes and cosmopolitan relations. Routledge.
3. Deardorff, D. K. (Ed.). (2020). Manual for developing intercultural competencies: Story circles. UNESCO / Routledge.
4. Fang, F., & Baker, W. (2018). 'A more inclusive mind towards the world': English language teaching and study abroad in China. Language Teaching Research, 22(5), 608–624.
5. Gerritsen, M., & Nickerson, C. (2016). BELF: Business English as a lingua franca. In B. Seidlhofer (Ed.), Controversies in applied linguistics (pp. 137–154). Oxford University Press.
6. Hall, E. T. (2019). Beyond culture (Reissue ed.). Anchor Books.
7. Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2020). Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
8. Holmes, P., & O'Neill, G. (2018). Developing and evaluating intercultural competence. International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 48, 87–98.
9. House, J. (2018). The pragmatics of English as a lingua franca. In J. Walker (Ed.), English as a lingua franca (pp. 45–62). De Gruyter Mouton.
10. Jenkins, J. (2015). Repositioning English and multilingualism in English as a lingua franca. Englishes in Practice, 2(3), 49–85.
11. Kankaanranta, A., & Louhiala-Salminen, L. (2018). ELF in the domain of business—BELF. In J. Jenkins et al. (Eds.), The Routledge handbook of English as a lingua franca (pp. 309320). Routledge.
12. Kim, Y. Y. (2017). Communication and cross-cultural adaptation (2nd ed.). Routledge.
13. Louhiala-Salminen, L., & Kankaanranta, A. (2015). Professional communication in a global business context. IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication, 54(3), 244–262.
14. Martin, J. N., & Nakayama, T. K. (2018). Intercultural communication in contexts (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
15. Mauranen, A. (2018). Conceptualising ELF. In J. Jenkins et al. (Eds.), The Routledge handbook of English as a lingua franca (pp. 7–24). Routledge.
16. Nickerson, C. (2015). The death of the non-native speaker? English Today, 31(3), 3–8.
17. Piller, I. (2017). Intercultural communication: A critical introduction (2nd ed.). Edinburgh University Press.
18. Rogerson-Revell, P. (2017). Directions in business English research. English for Specific Purposes, 46, 1–14.
19. Seidlhofer, B. (2015). English as a lingua franca and multilingualism. In J. Cenoz et al. (Eds.), Language awareness and multilingualism (pp. 1–14). Springer.
20. Spencer-Oatey, H., & Franklin, P. (2022). Intercultural interaction (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
21. Spencer-Oatey, H., & Xing, J. (2019). The impact of culture on professional communication. In B. Vine (Ed.), The Routledge handbook of language in the workplace (pp. 341–353). Routledge.
22. Ting-Toomey, S., & Dorjee, T. (2019). Communicating across cultures (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
23. Zhu, H. (2019). Exploring intercultural communication (2nd ed.). Routledge.
24. Baker, W. (2020). English as a lingua franca and intercultural communication. In J. Jenkins et al. (Eds.), The Routledge handbook of English as a lingua franca (pp. 25–36). Routledge.
25. Cogo, A., & Dewey, M. (2019). Analysing English as a lingua franca. Continuum.

26. Ehrenreich, S. (2016). English as a lingua franca in international business contexts. In K. Murata (Ed.), Exploring ELF in Japanese academic and business contexts (pp. 135–155). Routledge.
27. Gudykunst, W. B. (2015). Bridging differences (5th ed.). Sage.
28. Jackson, J. (2019). Introducing language and intercultural communication (2nd ed.). Routledge.
29. Kaur, J. (2016). Intercultural misunderstandings revisited. Journal of English as a Lingua Franca, 5(2), 205–228.
30. Kimura, D., & Canagarajah, S. (2020). Translingual practice and ELF. In J. Jenkins et al. (Eds.), The Routledge handbook of English as a lingua franca (pp. 295–308). Routledge.
31. Scollon, R., Scollon, S. W., & Jones, R. H. (2016). Intercultural communication: A discourse approach (3rd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
32. Takino, M. (2019). Power in BELF. Journal of English as a Lingua Franca, 8(1), 67–94.
33. Wierzbicka, A. (2016). Cross-cultural pragmatics (2nd ed.). De Gruyter Mouton.